



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to attempt to negotiate an allowance. The amendment would be sufficient to overcome the rejections over the art, but the amendment (which is in defective format due to the failure to properly delineate claims 33-35) introduces new issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph regarding antecedent basis and definiteness. The examiner suggested that claim 35 be rewritten as "A DNA and/or RNA chip which comprises a purified oligonucleotide of claim 32" and that claim 36 be rewritten as "A DNA and/or RNA chip which comprises a purified oligonucleotide of claim 34". The examiner also indicated that the non-elected claims would need to be cancelled. Applicant's representative was requested to respond after consulting with the applicant, but has not responded in the requested time frame. Applicant is welcome to submit a new after final which resolves these issues to obtain allowance of the application.